

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,901	02/02/2006	Mark Ashton	BJS-620-401	1869
	7590 03/26/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CHANDRAKUMAR, NIZAL S		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1625		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	03/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/556,901	ASHTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nizal S. Chandrakumar	1625			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
• ==		action is non-final.				
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31 and 34-50</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
· -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31, 34-50</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO)-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

The application filed 02/02/2006 and is a 371 of PCT/GB04/02101 05/14/2004. After speaking with Attorney B. J. Sadoff on March 1st 2007, the Examiner has reconsidered the previous Restriction Requirement (02/26/2007), in favor of the instant Restriction Requirement.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I Claims 1-20 (in part) and 31 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = CH and L^2 is single bond.

Group II Claims 1-4 (in part), 6-20 (in part), and 31 (in part) and claim 5 are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = CH and L^2 is optionally substituted C_{1-4} alkylene.

Group III Claims 1-20 (in part) and 31 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = CH and L^2 is C(=O).

Group IV Claims 1-9 (in part) and 21-31 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = N and L^2 is single bond.

Group V Claims 1-9 (in part) and 21-31 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = N and L^2 is optionally substituted C_{1-4} alkylene.

Art Unit: 1625

Group VI Claims 1-9 (in part) and 21-31 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = N and L^2 is C(=0).

Group VII Claims 34-49 (in part) and claim 50 are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = CH and L^2 is single bond.

Group VIII Claims 34-48 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = CH and L^2 is optionally substituted C_{1-4} alkylene.

Group IX Claims 34-49 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = CH and L^2 is $L^7C(=0)L^8$.

Group X Claims 34-39 (in part) and claims 41-49 (in part) and claim 50 are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = N and L^2 is single bond.

Group XI Claims 34-39 (in part) and claims 41-48 (in part), are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = N and L^2 is optionally substituted $C_{1.4}$ alkylene.

Group XII Claims 34-39 (in part) and claims 41-49 (in part) are drawn to compounds of the formula I wherein X = N and L^2 is $L^7C(=O)L^8$.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all groups is the structural element aryl-alkyl-thio-alkyl-carboxylic acid. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because this element is shown in the prior art. Lohse et al. teach (Journal of Agricultural and

Art Unit: 1625

Food Chemistry, 2000, 48, 5913-5923) compounds with the same structural element for use in pharmaceuticals.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction were not required; because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nizal S. Chandrakumar whose telephone number is 571-272-6202. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am - 5 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on 571-272-0670 or Primary Examiner D. Margaret Seaman can be reached at 571-272-0694. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For Application/Control Number: 10/556,901

Art Unit: 1625

Art Offic. 1020

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nizal S. Chandrakumar

MÁRGARET SEAMÁN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6